- Rossmann, M. G., Jacobson, R. A., Hirshfeld, F. L. & Lipscomb, W. N. (1959). *Acta Cryst.* **12**, 530–535.
- SAYRE, D. (1972). Acta Cryst. A 28, 210-212.
- SCHERAGA, H. A. (1968). Advanc. Phys. Org. Chem. 6, 103-184.
- STEWART, J. M., KUNDALL, F. A. & BALDWIN, J. C. (1970). Computer Science Center Report, Univ. of Maryland.
- STOUT, G. H. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination: A Practical Guide. pp. 376–381. New York: Macmillan.
- WATENPAUGH, K. D., HERRIOTT, J. R., SIEKER, L. C. & JENSEN, L. H. (1970). Amer. Cryst. Assn. Abstr. p. 78, Tulane Univ., New Orleans.
- WATENPAUGH, K. D., SIEKER, L. C., HERRIOTT, J. R. & JENSEN, L. H. (1970). Amer. Cryst. Assn. Abstr. p. 44, Carleton Univ., Ottawa.

- WATENPAUGH, K. D., SIEKER, L. C., HERRIOTT, J. R. & JENSEN, L. H. (1971a). Amer. Cryst. Assn. Abstr., p. 68, Univ. of South Carolina, Columbia.
- WATENPAUGH, K. D., SIEKER, L. C., HERRIOTT, J. R. & JENSEN, L. H. (1971b). Amer. Cryst. Assn. Abstr., p. 52, Iowa State Univ., Ames.
- WATENPAUGH, K. D, SIEKER, L. C., HERRIOTT, J. R. & JENSEN, L. H. (1972). In Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology, XXXVI, pp. 359–367.
- WATSON, H. C., KENDREW, J. C., COULTER, C. L., BRÄNDÉN, C. -I., PHILLIPS, D. C. & BLAKE, C. F. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, A 81.
- WATSON, H. C. (1969). In *Progress in Stereochemistry*, Vol. 4, pp. 299–333. London: Butterworth.
- WINKLER, F. K. & DUNITZ, J. D. (1971). J. Mol. Biol. 59, 169–182.

Acta Cryst. (1973). B29, 956

The Crystal Structure of a Tellurium(IV,VI) Oxyhydroxide, H₂Te₂O₆

BY OLIVER LINDQVIST

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Chalmers' University of Technology and the University of Göteborg, P.O. Box, S-402 20 Göteborg 5, Sweden

AND JACQUES MORET

Laboratoire de Chimie Minérale C, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Place Eugène-Bataillon, 34000 Montpellier, France

(Received 30 December 1972; accepted 2 January 1973)

Single crystals of $H_2Te_2O_6$ have been prepared by hydrothermal synthesis. The space group is $Pbn2_1$ and the cell dimensions are a=8.037, b=12.070, c=4.735 Å, and Z=4. The structure was solved from threedimensional Patterson and electron density calculations and the structure parameters, excluding those of the hydrogen atoms, were refined to an R value of 0.036 using 2480 independent reflexions. The structure contains Te(VI)O₆ octahedra and four-coordinated Te(IV) units with Te(VI)-O and Te(IV)-O bond distances in the ranges 1.864–1.952 Å and 1.861–2.107 Å, respectively. The Te(VI) octahedra are

linked through corners to form chains, which are connected via -Te(IV)-O-Te(IV)-O- chains to form

infinite sheets. These sheets are held together by hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces only, resulting in cleavage planes in the crystals. The $H_2Te_2O_6$ structure is closely related to that of Te_2O_5 , the threedimensional structure of which can be regarded as a condensation of $H_2Te_2O_6$ layers.

Introduction

Phases of composition Te_2O_5 have been known for some years to exist as microcrystalline powders (Rosicky, Loub & Pavel, 1965; Moret & Maurin, 1968). Recently, single crystals of Te_2O_5 have been prepared and the crystal structure determined by X-ray methods (Lindqvist & Moret, 1973). The Te_2O_5 single crystals were obtained in a hydrothermal investigation of the $TeO_2-TeO_3-H_2O$ system. In the same series of experiments it was also possible to isolate a new compound of composition $H_2Te_2O_6$ and to determine the conditions required for the growth of single crystals. Formally, $H_2Te_2O_6$ ought to be obtained by the reaction of Te_2O_5 with water, and the present investigation was undertaken to examine the structural relationship between Te_2O_5 and $H_2Te_2O_6$.

Experimental

The hydrothermal synthesis of crystals of $H_2Te_2O_6$ and the analysis of the compound have been described elsewhere (Moret, 1972). Cell dimensions, as obtained from measurements with a calibrated Siemens powder diffractometer, and possible space groups have been given previously (Moret & Lindqvist, 1972) and a summary of the crystallographic data is given in Table 1.

Table 1.	Crystallos	eraphic data	for	H ₂ Te ₂ (),
raule r.	Crystano	si aprile dalla	,	~~/~~/	- n

Unit cell	Orthorhombic with
	a = 8.037 (5) Å
	b = 12.070(5)
	c = 4.735(5)
	$V = 460.0 \ (0.5) \ \text{Å}^3$
	Z = 4
F.W.	263.2
Density	$\rho_{o} = 5.1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
2	$\rho_c = 5.107 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
Systematic absences	0kl when $k=2n+1$
	h0l when $h+l=2n+1$
Space group	$Pbn2_1$
General point positions	4(a): x, y, z; $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$
	$\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{1}{2} + z; \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$
Crystal habit	Colourless, rod-shaped with
- 9	cleavage along (010).
μ(Μο Κα)	130.4 cm^{-1} .
r-\	

A crystal of the dimensions given in Table 2 was mounted along the c axis on a Philips PAILRED diffractometer. Using graphite monochromated, Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, the intensities of the hk0-hk9 reflexions $(h, k \ge 0)$ with sin θ/λ up to approximately 1.5 were measured. The ω -scan technique with a scan speed of 2.5° per min was used and the background was measured for 40 sec at both ends of the scan interval.

Table 2. Limiting surfaces of the crystal used for data collection and distances to these surfaces from an internal origin

h	k	l	<i>d</i> (mm)
0	1	0	0.016
0	-1	0	0.016
1	1	0	0.020
1	-1	0	0.044
-1	- 1	0	0.048
0	0	1	0.125
0	0	-1	0.125

Crystal volume: 8.13×10^{-2} mm³.

Counting statistics and Lp corrections were calculated with the program DATAP1, written by O. Lindgren, Göteborg. 223 reflexions with $I/\sigma(I) < 2.5$ were regarded as unobserved, and the number of independent reflexions to be used in the calculations was 2480.

The intensity data were corrected for absorption effects using the program DATAP2 (Coppens, Leiserowitz & Rabinovich, 1965), the crystal being divided into a $6 \times 6 \times 8$ Gaussian grid. The transmission ratios varied from 0.28 to 0.66.

Structure determination and refinement

Using those reflexions with $\sin \theta/\lambda \le 0.6$, a threedimensional Patterson summation was performed. The most prominent peaks showed that the structure is acentric, and the tellurium atoms were found to occupy

two positions 4(a) in space group $Pbn2_1$ (cf. Table 1). The oxygen atoms were located after two successive difference electron density calculations. The Fourier summations were performed with the fast Fourier transform program, FFT, originally written by G. Sande (Gentleman & Sande, 1966), expanded to threedimensional crystallographic problems by Ten Eyck (1973).

The initial least-squares refinement of the structure was based on the low-order reflexions only, the blockdiagonal approximation program BLOCK, written by O. Lindgren, being used. The final isotropic and the anisotropic refinement were based on all observed reflexions, and were carried out with the program LINUS (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962; Coppens & Hamilton, 1970). The last isotropic cycles also included the variation of separate scale factors for the ten layer lines and a secondary isotropic extinction parameter, g (Coppens & Hamilton, 1970). The F_{a} scale factors for the hk0 and hk1 layers deviated significantly from the others by -7%, as a result of a necessary replacement of the X-ray tube after the collection of these two zones, while the others were the same within +1%. The R value in the isotropic refinement converged to 0.040 and the corresponding B values are given in Table 3.

In the final cycles of refinement, anisotropic temperature factors for all atoms were introduced, the interlayer scale factors being kept constant. The R value converged to 0.036, and the resulting parameters are given in Table 3. The observed and calculated structure factors are compared in Table 4. Weights for each reflexion were calculated according to a modification of Cruickshank's (1970) formula, $w = (a + F_o + c \cdot F_o^2 + c \cdot F_o^2)$ $d \cdot F_a^3)^{-1}$, with a = 100.0, c = 0.005 and d = 0.0008. The corresponding weight analysis is given in Table 5. Scattering factors due to Cromer & Waber (1965) were used for Te and those given by Doyle & Turner (1968) for O. The tellurium contribution to the calculated structure factors was corrected for anomalous dispersion (Cromer, 1965).

Interatomic distances and angles were calculated with program DISTAN, written by A. Zalkin, Berkeley, California, and are given in Tables 6 and 7.

Table 3. Final atomic parameters

Positional parameters are expressed as fractions of the cell edges, and the anisotropic temperature factor is of the form A 2/12 4277 . 1214277 . 12 4277

0

Table	3	(cont)
Table	5	(com.)

	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
Te(VI)	63 (1)	53 (1)	55 (1)	-5(1)	-2(1)	-1(1)
Te(IV)	67 (1)	72 (1)	72 (1)	-1(1)	4 (1)	-9(1)
O(Ì)	73 (12)	73 (10)	114 (13)	16 (9)	-13(10)	-16(10)
O(2)	108 (13)	75 (11)	109 (14)	14 (10)	-12(11)	-40(11)
O(3)	139 (16)	109 (13)	107 (15)	-41(12)	-13(13)	35 (12)
O(4)	78 (12)	76 (11)	114 (14)	-6(9)	22 (10)	-20(10)
O(5)	142 (15)	116 (12)	94 (14)	44 (11)	53 (14)	26 (14)
O(6)	104 (13)	95 (12)	106 (14)	-3(11)	19 (12)	-41(12)

Isotropic extinction parameter (Coppens & Hamilton, 1970), $g.10^{-4} = 0.70$ (4).

Discussion

The $H_2Te_2O_6$ structure contains equal numbers of 4valent and 6-valent tellurium atoms. This is evident from the oxygen environment of the two independent Te positions: Te(VI) has the usual octahedral configuration, while Te(IV) has a well known fourcoordination as a trigonal bipyramid with the Te(IV) free electron pair in an equatorial position. The Te(VI) octahedra are connected through the O(2) corner to form chains of composition $[\text{TeO}_3(\text{OH})_2]_n^{2n-}$ (the hydrogen positions are discussed below) in the crystallographic *c*-axis direction. This type of Te(VI) chain resulting from the sharing of corners has previously only been found in KTeO₂(OH)₃ (Lammers & Zemann, 1965), in which the chains have the composition $[\text{TeO}_2(\text{OH})_3]_n^{n-}$. The Te(VI) chains in H₂Te₂O₆ are connected by $[\text{Te}(\text{IV})O]_n^{2n+}$ chains *via* the O(1) and O(4) octahedral corners, resulting in infinite covalent sheets perpendicular to the *b* axis. One such layer con-

Table 4. Observed and calculated structure factors

The columns are h, $10F_o$, $10|F_c|$ and φ (in radians).

 1 1		n.10 0 1.11 0	1 22 23 25 - 1.22			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} & n, 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	B 57. 337 - 1.5 1 44. 57. 357 - 1.5 1 45. 57. 57 1.5 1 45	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		

	2 00 454	- -				• 155 •			0 116-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
$ \begin{array}{c} c & i \\ \mathsf$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 100 & 100 & -1,100 \\ & 100 & 100 & -1,100 \\ & 101 & 100 & -1,100 \\ & 101 & 100 & -1,100 \\ & 101 & 100 & -1,011 \\ & 101 & 100 & -1,011 \\ & 101 & 100 & -1,011 \\ & 101 & 100 & -1,011 \\ & 100 & -1,011 \\ & 100 & -$	14 943 946 0.11 14 945 946 0.11 14 946 0	n 1 4 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 800 800 -1-0 40 11 800 800 -1-0 40 12 14 40 40 40 1	

tains all the crystallographically independent atoms, and thus corresponds to the stoichiometric formula $H_2Te_2O_6$. A stereoscopic projection of a single layer, prepared with the program *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1965), is shown in Fig. 1, and a schematic projection in the direction of the Te(VI) chains is given in Fig 2.

The hydrogen atoms, whose positions could not be located in the presence of the heavy tellurium atoms, may be assumed to be attached to O(3) and O(6), since these are the only oxygen atoms with only one strong bond to tellurium. Furthermore, there are two independent distances between O(3) and O(6) of 2.773 Å and 2.818 Å, which are likely to correspond to hydrogen bonds (cf. Table 6). On this assumption, the only bonds between the sheets apart from van der Waals forces are hydrogen bonds corresponding to the O(3)-O(6)



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic projection of one of the layers in the structure. The angle between the projection direction and the b axis is $\sim 20^{\circ}$.

Table 4 (cont.)

					. ,				
				L 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		H = 1 - H = 1 - 1 -	1 10 1.1 0.0	• yww yw	
146 155 -1.13 324 333 C58 479 468 2.28 113 113 1.75 254 31C 2.14 18 18 5 248 25C -2.67 287 268 -0.04 123 11C -1.47 259 263 1.02 171 155 -0.23 581 592 2.4C	8 724 734 236 9 129 137 -0.34 10 504 505 248 11 278 272 -0.54 12 712 248 -1.02 13 139 161 0.72 14 123 102 -2.05 H = 0 0 419 707 -2.43 1 508 502 -1.26 2 637 638 -3.04	1 315 307 2,30 2 304 310 -0.05 3 303 314 2,49 4 844 855 -0.50 5 600 272 -2.05 6 635 635 -0.50 7 660 272 -2.05 9 748 348 2.85 9 748 348 2.85 11 184 102 -2.54 12 184 102 -2.54	 c 200 248 -0.38 i 10 202 -1.34 i 10 341 0.02 i 10 351 2.03 i 10 355 2.03 i 10 355 2.03 i 10 121 -0.30 	1 119 407 -1.70 2 320 314 -1.14 3 397 372 -1.71 4 171 153 0.55 5 101 115 0.55 7 104 110 0.57 7 105 203 -1.60 8 177 190 -1.67 9 403 450 -1.67 10 457 459 1.65 11 112 40 -1.63 12 316 1.65	H 15 7 1 483 470 -1.48 2 414 420 -1.63 4 119 81 -(.95 5 116 116 -1.35 1 251 245 -1.68 8 342 4(5 -1.53	H 8 8 J 170 50 5-14 1 436 411 0,77 2 454 432 - 3,64 3 184 128 1-1 4 358 342 - 4,99 5 358 542 - 4,93 5 92 99 3.14	1 214 215 -3.00 6 213 238 -2.58 4 37 374 -2.68 H 11 8 1 271 203 0.28 2 21.20 3 128 154 -2.20 4 387 342 -2.00	2 208 203 -0.47 3 441 449 0.68 4 101 100 -1.73 5 201 209 1.16 6 185 196 -2.12 7 325 314 3.04 5 220 216 -1.09	H 12 5 0 466 486 2.3d 1 42 104 -0.50 2 331 319 1.50 3 110 41 -1.10 H 25 237 0.77 2 139 137 -1.05
122 98 -2.69 423 444 1.76 345 348 C.81 H 19 5 174 155 C.94 370 270 2.35 270 270 1.35 126 133 2.13 328 322 1.05 230 248 -0.75	433 434 - 14 08 5 644 599 2.134 6 189 196 -2.47 7 209 211 3.08 8 91 67 -0.80 6 488 498 -1.59 10 154 186 0.17 11 27 218 2.41 12 231 247 0.13 13 356 357 2.42 14 325 325 0.04	n 11 6 1 3/3 3/3 - 0.+1 2 3/3 49 3 /33 49 4 3/3 49 4 3/4 1.30 4 2/4 510 3.08 6 054 076 2.41 7 200 2/3 - 0.40 8 3/2 3/3 - 2.+3 10 3/3 2/8 - 2.+3 11 207 203 2.45 14 3/1 207 2.5 2 4.5 14 3/2 1/5 2 4.5 1 207 2.5 2 5.5 1 2 5.5 2 5.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 2ds & 277 & -2.54 \\ 1 & 4ev & 1.34 \\ 2 & 1.77 & 181 & 2.53 \\ 3 & c.53 & c.64 & -c.41 \\ 4 & 1.24 & 1.29 & 1.20 \\ 5 & 981 & 500 & c.61 \\ 1 & 1.24 & 0.12 \\ 4 & 1.44 & 1.24 \\ 3 & 1.44 & 0.12 &44 \\ 3 & 1.44 & 0.12 &44 \\ 3 & 1.24 & 1.49 & -2.47 \end{array}$	H / 7 4 503 495 1.34 5 703 495 1.34 7 743 717 -1.01 7 743 717 -1.01 7 743 554 -1.05 6 744 2554 -1.05 6 7445 746 1.56 7 745 746 1.56 9 318 328 1.56 9 133 138 1.69 10 193 201 1.34	Anothe resemblan	r interesting ace to the s	g feature o structure of	f the struct Te ₂ O ₅ (Lin	ture is its ndqvist &

Moret, 1973). A comparison of the cell dimensions shows that the a (=8.046 Å) and the c (=4.735 Å) axes in H₂Te₂O₆ have values very close to the c (= 7.955 Å)and the b (=4.696 Å) axes in Te₂O₅. If the atomic x and z coordinates of all the non-hydrogen atoms in plane A, Fig. 2, are transformed as y' = z and $z' = \frac{1}{2} - x$,



Fig. 2. Schematic projection along the c axis showing three layers in the structure. The interlayer hydrogen bonds are are indicated as dotted lines.

distance of 2.818 Å. The other O(3)–O(6) hydrogen bonds are situated within the same layer.

2.09

201

C1234567891112

12345678511

7.000 C F C F C F C

1234

012744.070

328

145 358 77

That $H_2Te_2O_6$ has this pronounced layer structure, with only weak links between the sheets, has the result that cleavage planes occur parallel to the (010) plane. These cleavage planes are readily visible in Fig. 3, which shows an electron microscope photograph of a broken surface of a small $H_2Te_2O_6$ single crystal.

Table 5. Weight analysis after the last cycle of refinement

The quantities $w\Delta^2$ are normalized sums, $K_{norm} \cdot (\sum w | F_o |F_c|^2$, and N is the number of reflexions within each F_o interval.

F _o interval	w⊿²	Ν
0.0-12.2	2.03	284
15.520.9	1.61	267
20.9-26.0	0.88	270
26.0-30.7	0.99	251
30.736.2	0.65	248
36.2-42.0	0.81	240
42.050.2	0.58	242
50.261.1	0.57	229
61.178.8	0.40	226
78.7–210.0	1.21	223
$R = \sum F - F $	$1/\Sigma F$	= 0.036

$$R_{w} = (\sum_{v} |F_{o}|^{1/2} |F_{o}|^{1/2}) = 0.055$$



Fig. 3. Electron microscope photograph (magnification: $4500 \times$) showing the cleavage planes in an H₂Te₂O₆ single crystal.

it is found that $y' \cdot c$ and $z' \cdot a$ do not differ from the y $\cdot b$ and $z \cdot c$ values for corresponding atoms in Te₂O₅

Table 6. Oxygen–oxygen distances less than 3.67 Å and hydrogen bond angles

When both atoms are bonded to the same Te atom, this is indicated as =Te= or -Te=, where - corresponds to a weak interaction (*cf.* Fig. 5). For the other distances the position of atom 2 with respect to the position given in Table 3 is stated.

O(1) - O(3)	2·598 (7) Å	=Te(VI)=
O(1) - O(2)	2.619 (7)	=Te(VI)=
O(1) - O(6)	2.649 (7)	=Te(VI)=
O(3) - O(6)	2.670 (8)	=Te(VI)=
O'(2) - O(6)	2.704 (6)	=Te(VI)=
O'(2) - O(4)	2.707 (7)	=Te(VI)=
O(1) - O(5)	2.709 (7)	=Te(IV)=
O(1) - O'(5)	2.713 (7)	≃Te(IV)=
O(4) - O(6)	2.721 (7)	=Te(VI)=
O(1) - O'(2)	2.730 (6)	=Te(VI)=
O(5) - O'(5)	2·746 (5) (2×)	=Te(1V)=
O(2) - O(3)	2.748 (7)	=Te(VI)=
O(3)-O(4)	2.751 (7)	=Te(VI)=
O(4) - O(5)	2.753 (7)	=Te(IV)=
$O(3) - O(6^{i})$	2.773 (8)	x, y, z
O(1)-O(4)	2.797 (6)	=Te(IV)=
O(2) - O'(2)	2·801 (5) (2×)	=Te(VI)=
$O(3) - O(6^{ii})$	2.818 (7)	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \overline{z}$
O(2)-O(4)	2.825 (6)	=Te(VI)=
O(5)–O(6)	2.905 (7)	=Te(IV)-
O(4)-O(6)	3.083 (7)	=Te(IV)-
O(3)-O(6)	3.096 (7)	$\bar{x}, 1-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$
O(2) - O(4)	3.107 (7)	-Te(IV)=
O(1) - O(2)	3.114 (7)	x, y - 1, z
O(3) - O(4)	3.146 (7)	$\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$
O(2) - O(5)	3.206 (7)	$\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$
O(1) - O(3)	3.207 (7)	x, y, z
O(3) - O(5)	3.384 (7)	x, y, z
O(3) - O(4)	3.395 (7)	$\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, 1 + z$
O(1) - O(4)	3.496 (6)	$\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$
	$Te(VI)-O(6^{i})-O(3)$	115·3 (2)°
	$Te(VI)-O(3)-O(6^{i})$	106.6 (2)
	$Te(VI)-O(6^{11})-O(3)$	109.7 (2)
	$Te(VI) = O(3) = O(6^{11})$	135.7 (3)

by more than 0.2 Å for the tellurium and 0.8 Å for the oxygen atoms. This means that if the hydrogen atoms are disregarded, the H₂Te₂O₆ sheets (sheet *B* after 180° rotation around **b**) represent part of the three-dimensional Te₂O₅ structure. This is illustrated in Fig. 4. These close structural relationships between H₂Te₂O₆ and Te₂O₅ clearly indicate that Te₂O₅ is a true condensate of H₂Te₂O₆ according to the formal reaction H₂Te₂O₆ = Te₂O₅ + H₂O. However, since every second H₂Te₂O₆ sheet is rotated in Te₂O₅, the condensation process cannot take place as a direct transformation of an H₂Te₂O₆ or H₂Te₂O₆ must be the result of the particular equilibrium state under the relevant hydrothermal conditions.

The similarities of the two structures are further emphasized when the coordination polyhedra of the tellurium atoms are compared (*cf.* Table 7). The bond distances agree very well, and the qualitative discussion in the Te₂O₅ paper (Lindqvist & Moret, 1973) as to what influence the different types of 'axial' and 'equatorial' Te(IV)-O bonds might have on the bonds in the Te(VI) octahedron, is therefore also relevant for $H_2Te_2O_6$. In Te_2O_5 the Te(IV)-O(2) distance of 2.706 Å was considered to correspond to a weak bond resulting in a significant difference between the Te(VI)-O(2) and Te(VI)-O(3) bonds. Such a difference is also present in $H_2Te_2O_6$, but might here as well be considered to be an effect of the different roles of O(2)(bridge atom) and O(3) (hydroxyl group). It is thus difficult to come to any conclusion concerning the strength of the Te(IV)-O(2) interaction. That it is weaker than in Te₂O₅ is indicated not only by the longer distance (2.850 Å), but also by the fact that Te(IV) in $H_2Te_2O_6$ has another oxygen neighbour, O(6), at a distance of 2.837 Å. The position of O(6) (cf. Table 7) would seem to be more favourable for interaction with Te(IV) than that of O(2) [cf. discussion of $CuTe_2O_5$, Hanke, Kupčik & Lindqvist (1973)].

Some of the differences in the coordination bond distances between $H_2Te_2O_6$ and Te_2O_5 , given in Table 7, may be regarded as being significant, *i.e.* Te(VI)-O(1), Te(VI)-O'(2), Te(VI)-O(4), Te(IV)-O(1),



Fig. 4. Comparison between the $H_2Te_2O_6$ and the Te_2O_5 structures. In the upper part of the figure, plane *B* has been rotated 180° around the *b* axis (*cf.* Fig. 2) and translated along the *a* axis. The lower part of the figure shows a projection of the Te_2O_5 structure.

Te(IV)-O(5) and Te(IV)-O'(5). That these deviations are in fact significant is supported by the fact that there is a rational correspondence in all cases: The shortening of the Te(VI)-O(1) bond is accompanied by an elongation of the Te(IV)-O(1) bond; the weakened Te(IV)-O(1) bond is accompanied by a stronger Te(IV)-O(5), bond, which is to be expected, considering the Te(IV) 'equatorial' bonds to involve

Te(VI)-O(6)-Te(IV)

Te(IV) sp^2 hybrid orbitals (Lindqvist, 1967). The Te(VI)-O(4) bond is longer in $H_2Te_2O_6$, which corresponds to a shorter, though not significantly so, Te(IV)-O(4) bond, and, in turn, to a longer Te(IV)-O'(5) bond, since both are 'axial'. The Te(VI)-O'(2) bond is shorter, and the assumed Te(IV)-O'(2) interaction corresponds to a longer distance. (cf. Fig. 5 in discussion of Te_2O_5).

> (1.913)(2.706) (2.072) (1.892)(2.080)

Table 7. Distances (Å) and angles (°) within the tellurium-oxygen polyhedra

The notation is in accordance with Fig. 5. Corresponding values for Te₂O₅ are given in parentheses.

Te(VI)-O(1)	1.911 (4) (1.929)	Te(IV) - O(1)	1.937 (4) (1.913
Te(VI) = O(2)	1.940(5)(1.933)	Te(IV) - O(2)	2.850 (5) (2.706
Te(VI)-O'(2)	1.952 (5) (1.972)	Te(IV) - O(4)	2.065(5)(2.072)
Te(VI) = O(3) (OH)	1.904(5)(1.907)	Te(IV) = O(5)	1.861 (6) (1.892
Te(VI)-O(4)	1.864(5)(1.848)	Te(IV) - O'(5)	2.107(5)(2.080)
Te(VI)-O(6) (OH)	1.937 (5) (1.927)	Te(IV)-O(6) (OH)	2.837 (5)
O(1) - Te(VI) - O(2)	85.7 (2) (82.6)	O(1) = Te(IV) = O(2)	63.0 (2) (64.3)
O(1) - Te(VI) - O'(2)	90.0(2) (87.2)	O(1) - Te(IV) - O(2)	88.6 (2) (86.0)
O(1) - Te(VI) - O(3)	85.8 (2) (86.4)	O(1) - Te(IV) - O(4)	91.0(2)(91.0)
O(1) - Te(VI) - O(4)	178.4(2)(175.9)	O(1) - Te(1V) - O'(5)	84.2(2)(81.8)
O(1) - Te(VI) - O(6)	87.0(2) (88.9)	O(2) - Te(IV) - O(4)	76.6(2)(80.0)
O(2) - Te(VI) - O'(2)	92.1(1) (91.5)	O(2) - Te(IV) - O(5)	150.0(2)(152.2)
O(2) - Te(VI) - O(3)	91.3(2) (88.8)	O(2) - Te(IV) - O'(5)	103.4(2)(100.8)
O(2) - Te(VI) - O(4)	95.9 (2) (98.8)	O(4) - Te(IV) - O(5)	88.9 (2) (86.0)
O(2) - Te(VI) - O(6)	172.7(2) (171.4)	O(4) - Te(IV) - O'(5)	171.8(2)(165.9)
O'(2) - Te(VI) - O(3)	$174 \cdot 4$ (2) (173 \cdot 5)	O(5) - Te(IV) - O'(5)	87.4(1)(87.3)
O'(2) - Te(VI) - O(4)	90.3 (2) (88.9)	O(1) - Te(IV) - O(6)	157.9 (2)
O'(2) - Te(VI) - O(6)	88.1 (2) (87.0)	O(2) - Te(IV) - O(6)	126.6(1)
O(3) - Te(VI) - O(4)	93.4(2) (97.5)	O(4) - Te(IV) - O(6)	76.1(2)
O(3) - Te(VI) - O(6)	88.1 (2) (91.8)	O(5) - Te(IV) - O(6)	73.1(2)
O(4) - Te(VI) - O(6)	91.4 (2) (89.6)	O'(5) - Te(IV) - O(6)	103.7(2)
Te(VI) = O(1) = Te(IV)	122.7(2)(121.7)		
Te(VI)–O(2)–Te(VI)	134.7 (3) (133.8)		
Te(VI) = O(2) = Te(IV)	132.7 (3) (131.2)		
Te(VI) - O(2) - Te(IV)	87.5 (2) (91.1)		
Te(VI) - O(4) - Te(IV)	136.0 (2) (136.4)		
Te(IV) - O(5) - Te(IV)	129.0 (3) (128.7)		

119.2(2)



Fig. 5. Coordination of (a) the 6-valent and (b) the 4-valent tellurium atoms (probability level of the atomic ellipsoids: 50%).

These differences in bond distances and the deviations between the angles (cf. Table 7) may be a result of a higher degree of geometrical strain in the denser, threedimensional Te₂O₅ structure. However, the differences are astonishingly small, and it is remarkable that there is no significant difference in the Te(VI)–O(3) and Te(VI)–O(6) bond distances, since O(3) and O(6) correspond to OH groups in H₂Te₂O₆, while they bridge two Te(VI) atoms in Te₂O₅.

We wish to thank Professors M. Maurin and G. Lundgren for their unfailing interest and for their support of this work. Thanks are also due to Ing. E. Andersson for excellent assistance and to Dr S. Jagner for revising the English text. Financial aid has been provided by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR, Contract No. 2318).

References

- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- COPPENS, P. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1970). Acta Cryst. A 26, 71-83.
- COPPENS, P., LEISEROWITZ, L. & RABINOVICH, D. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 1035–1038.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17-23.

- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104-109.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1970). In Crystallographic Computing, p. 195. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- DOYLE, P. A. & TURNER, P. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 390-399.
- GENTLEMAN, W. M. & SANDE, G. (1966). Proceedings Fall Joint Computer Conference, pp. 563–578.
- HANKE, K., KUPČIK, V. & LINDQVIST, O. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 963–970.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- LAMMERS, P. & ZEMANN, J. (1965). Z. anorg. allgem. Chem. 334, 225–234.
- LINDQVIST, O. (1967). Acta Chem Scand. 21, 1473-1483.
- LINDOVIST, O. & MORET, J. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 643-650.
- MORET, J. (1972). Thesis. Laboratoire de Chimie Minérale C, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Montpellier, France.
- MORET, J. & LINDQVIST, O. (1972). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 275, 207-210.
- MORET, J. & MAURIN, M. (1968). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 266, 708-710.
- ROSICKY, J., LOUB, J. & PAVEL, J. (1965). Z. anorg. allgem. Chem. 334, 312-320.
- TEN EYCK, L. F. (1973). Acta Cryst. A29, 183-191.

Acta Cryst. (1973). B29, 963

The Crystal Structure of CuTe₂O₅

BY KURT HANKE AND VLADIMIR KUPČIK

Mineralogisch-Kristallographisches Institut der Universität Göttingen, 34-Göttingen-Weende, Germany (BRD)

AND OLIVER LINDQVIST

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Chalmers' University of Technology and the University of Göteborg, P.O. Box, S-402 20 Göteborg 5, Sweden

(Received 30 December 1972; accepted 2 January 1973)

CuTe₂O₅ crystallizes in space group $P_{1/c}$, with the following cell dimensions: a = 6.871(2), b = 9.322(2), c = 7.602(2) Å, $\beta = 109.08(1)^{\circ}$, and with Z = 4. The phase problem was solved by direct methods and the final atomic parameters were obtained by full matrix least-squares refinement based on 3556 independent reflexions, an R value of 0.048 being obtained. The structure is a three-dimensional net resulting from copper and tellurium coordination polyhedra sharing oxygen atoms. Each oxygen atom interacts with three metal atoms, two of the interactions being strong and one weak. The Cu–O polyhedron may be described as a distorted octahedron with four strong bonds (Cu–O: 1.950–1.969 Å) and two weaker bonds (Cu–O: 2.305, 2.780 Å). Both independent tellurium atoms have three strong pyramidal bonds to oxygen (Te–O: 1.859–2.019 Å) and, in addition, one of them has a fourth interaction with an oxygen atom (Te–O: 2.402 Å), while the other has two weaker bonds (Te–O: 2.607, 2.733 Å).

Introduction

The structure of some double oxides of type $MO.xTeO_2$ where M=Zn or Cu have been investigated, viz. ZnTeO₃ (Hanke, 1967), CuTeO₃ (Lind-

qvist, 1972) and $Zn_2Te_3O_8$ (Hanke, 1966). The aim of this work was to determine the structure of another member of this series, $CuTe_2O_5$, which, like the abovementioned oxides, has been prepared synthetically. Among the tellurium-containing minerals whose